CHEMISTRY OF MATERIALS

Lithium-Rich Rock-Salt-Type Vanadate as Energy Storage Cathode: Li_{2-x}VO₃

Valerie Pralong,*^{,†} Venkatesh Gopal,[†] Vincent Caignaert,[†] Victor Duffort,[†] and Bernard Raveau[†]

Laboratoire de Crystallographie et Sciences des Matériaux, ENSICAEN, Université de Caen, CNRS, 6 Bd Maréchal Juin, F-14050 Caen 4, France

KEYWORDS: vanadium oxides, Li₂VO₃, rock salt structure, Li ion batteries, lithium vanadate

ithium intercalation in oxides is a topic of the highest ⊿ importance in view of the commercial realization of batteries for electric vehicles (EV) and hybrid electric vehicles (HEV) as well as microtechnology (Mems, 2D and 3D microbatteries for pacemakers, hearing aids, smart cards, remote sensors, etc.). In this context, the nature of the cathode material has been the object of numerous investigations showing that LiCoO₂-based oxides¹ and LiFePO₄ phosphate² are today the best candidates which can be used for this technology. However, the cost and environmental concerns of the cobalt based oxides and the difficulty of optimization and of utilization of LiFePO₄ necessitate continuous search for new electrode materials that can mitigate these weak points. The renewed interest in the search for new compounds that can be used as potential electrode materials for Li-ion batteries is due to the safety concerns associated with the redox chemistry of the electrode materials. In addition, large scale mobile applications require robust and low cost systems. Several families of materials are screened for this purpose.¹ Intercalation reactions are generally possible in a 3D framework containing interconnected tunnels or on a 2D layered structure. Thus, besides cobalt and iron based oxides, other families of transition metal oxides, involving nickel, manganese, vanadium, titanium, niobium, tungsten, and molybdenum, are of great interest due to their ability to exhibit a mixed valence, with redox potential values in adequation with the batteries applications.

Among the numerous transition metal oxides that have been explored,³ vanadium oxides appear as attractive electrode materials as they offer the advantages of low cost and abundant sources. This is the case of V_2O_5 ,⁴ which has been studied for more than 30 years, and of the vanadate $LiV_3O_8^{5}$ which received considerable attention as an insertion material. However, the performances of these materials are still unsatisfactory. For instance, attempts to improve the performances of V2O5 by combining it with more conductive materials such as carbon or nickel show that the resulting composites suffer from low cycling stability.⁶ This can be understood by the study carried out by Delmas et al.,⁷ which shows that the fully lithiated end member Li₃V₂O₅ of the Li_xV₂O₅ family exhibits the rock salt structure, very different from the layered character of V2O5. Moreover, two redox couples, V5+/V4+ and V4+/V3+, are involved successively during the lithium intercalation-deintercalation process. As a consequence, the combination of the potential difference between the two redox couples and the structural transition makes the intercalation process difficult to control, leading to its

amorphization on cycling and loss of capacity, so that $\rm V_2O_5$ cannot be used directly as an electrode material.

In contrast, the layered structure of LiV₃O₈⁸ built up of VO₆ octahedra and VO₅ pyramids, held together by Li⁺ cations, can intercalate two additional Li⁺ cations in its tetrahedral sites, leading to the formula Li₃V₃O₈.⁹ This process involves only one redox couple V⁵⁺/V⁴⁺ and has the advantage of being totally reversible. Nevertheless, this composition, despite much effort to be optimized,^{10,11} exhibits a much lower specific capacity of 200 (mA h)/g, compared to Li₃V₂O₅ (290 (mA h)/g). Interestingly, two additional Li⁺ cations can be inserted into this structure, leading to the end member Li₅V₃O₈ with a rock-salt-type structure.^{10,11} Unfortunately, its preparation requires a nanoscale synthesis and a partial substitution of vanadium by chromium.

On the basis of the above observations, we have revisited the Li-V-O system. In the latter, the vanadate $LiVO_3$ appears as a potential material for lithium insertion due to the unidimensionnal character of its structure (Figure 1)¹² built up of



Figure 1. Structure view of $LiVO_3$ along the *a* axis.

chains of corner sharing VO_4 tetrahedra, interconnected through LiO_6 octahedra. This idea is also supported by the fact that this oxide is a good ionic conductor,¹³ suggesting a

```
Received:November 2, 2011Revised:November 30, 2011Published:December 6, 2011
```

Chemistry of Materials

great possibility of structural rearrangements due to the high mobility of lithium cations in such a structure.

Hereby, we demonstrate that the behavior of the tetrahedral structure of LiVO₃ is quite unusual leading by an irreversible electrochemical reaction to a very different Li-rich compound Li_2VO_3 with the rock salt structure. Importantly, we show that this Li-rich rock salt phase is quite stable and can deintercalate up to one lithium cation per formula, with an excellent reversibility at the average potential of 2.5 V vs Li⁺/Li. Thus, the Li-rich rock-salt-type phase $\text{Li}_{2-x}\text{VO}_3$, with $0 \le x \le 1$, exhibits a specific capacity of 253 (mA h)/g and a specific energy of 632 (W h)/g, which make it a good candidate as electrode material for Li ion batteries.

 $LiVO_3$ is synthesized by a really simple and conventional solid-state reaction from the stoichiometric amounts of Li_2CO_3 and V_2O_5 . The initial reagents are first mixed and then heated at 500 °C for 12 h in a platinum crucible under air. The X-ray powder diffraction pattern (Figure 2) of the as-prepared



Figure 2. XRD pattern of LiVO₃ as prepared.

material LiVO₃ corresponds to a well-crystallized phase which can be indexed to the cell parameters a = 10.16 Å, b = 8.415 Å, c = 5.884 Å, $\beta = 110.50^{\circ}$, and space group C2/c, as previously described.¹²

For the electrochemical study of $LiVO_3$, the charge and discharge profile were performed with a galvanostatic cycling at C/10 in the potential window 1.0–3.0 V versus Li/Li⁺ (Figure 3).

During the first discharge (labeled 1), which corresponds to the insertion of one lithium per formula, one observes a pseudo plateau suggesting a biphasic mechanism. This viewpoint is confirmed by the XRD analysis of the intermediate composition x = 0.6, which shows a mixture of two phases, the initial vanadate LiVO₃, and the final oxide Li₂VO₃. Note therefore that additional capacity is observed due to side reactions with the electrolyte occurring in the first reduction process. After the first cycle, a reversible process, characteristic of a solid solution process (i.e., Li_{2-x}VO₃ with $0 \le x < 1$), occurs (labeled 2–3). A reversible capacity of 0.9 Li/f.u. (253 (mA h)/g) is obtained at an average potential of 2.5 V.

The ex situ X-ray powder pattern taken at the end of the first discharge (Figure 4), that is, for Li_2VO_3 composition, is completely different from that of the pristine phase $LiVO_3$ (Figure 2). This pattern was refined in a cubic cell with a cell parameter, a = 8.23 Å, compatible with the space group $Fd\overline{3}m$, characteristic of the disorderer rock salt structure previously



Figure 3. Potential composition curve of $LiVO_3$ at C/10 rate.



Figure 4. Ex situ X-ray patterns of the discharged phase Li_2VO_3 . Inset: SAED showing the ring which characterizes the nanoscale phase.

observed for high pressure LiVO₂.¹⁴ Note, however, that they reveal a strong amorphization of the sample, showing that the reconstructive reaction, corresponding to the irreversible transformation of the chain structure into the rock salt structure, occurs in the course of the first lithium insertion.

Interestingly, as the reversible process is a solid solution process, no further structural transformation is observed. Thus, the rock salt oxide, Li_2VO_3 , can deintercalate–intercalate reversibly one Li per f.u. at the average potential of 2.5 V vs Li^+/Li , leading to a specific capacity of 253 (mA h)/g and a specific energy of 632 (W h)/kg (Figure 5).

These results show, for the first time, the possibility to deintercalate reversibly one Li per f.u. in a vanadium oxide, with the rock salt structures $\rm Li_2VO_3$, without any structural transition, and for the sole V⁵⁺/V⁴⁺ redox couple. Similarly, a structural transition is observed for other vanadium oxides V₂O₅/Li₃V₂O₅ and LiV₃O₈/Li₅V₃O₈, leading to a rock salt structure. However, in those systems, the intercalation–deintercalation requires two redox couples successively, V⁵⁺/V⁴⁺ and V⁴⁺/V³⁺.

The performances of this new rock-salt-type Li_2VO_3 oxide are comparable to those of the most recognized materials that are used as cathodes for Li-ion batteries (Figure 6). Clearly, one

Communication



Figure 5. Capacity versus cycle number for $LiVO_3$ at C/10.



Figure 6. Specific energy ((kW h)/kg) and average potential vs Li^+/Li for several material used as cathode materials for Li ion batteries.

observes that despite its low redox potential (2.5 V vs Li⁺/Li) compared to other cathode materials (3.2 to 4 V), Li_2VO_3 delivers a high specific energy, that is, 632 (W h)/kg to be compared to 400–550 (W h)/kg for the other.

In conclusion, a new candidate as electrode material for Li ion batteries, Li_2VO_3 (LiVO₃) with the rock salt structure, has been synthesized in the Li–V–O system. This oxide has the advantage of being very stable and easy to prepare from the in situ electrochemical reaction of LiVO₃ synthesized at 500 °C. Moreover, this material could be directly synthesized by chemical intercalation using *n*Bu–Li. Importantly, its capacity is significantly higher than that of $Li_2V_3O_8$ ($Li_3V_3O_8$), and in contrast to V_2O_5 ($Li_3V_2O_5$), it can be used more easily as a reversible electrode since it involves only one redox couple V^{5+}/V^{4+} . Thus, it appears as a promising candidate for the elaboration of thin film electrodes for microbatteries.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: valerie.pralong@ensicaen.fr. Phone: 33 2 31 45 26 32.

Author Contributions

[†]The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors gratefully acknowledge the CNRS, the Minister of Education and Research, and the Conseil Regional of Basse Normandie for financial support.

REFERENCES

(1) Mizushima, K.; Jones, P. C.; Wiseman, P. J.; Goodenough, J. B. *Mater. Res. Bull.* **1980**, *15*, 783. Delmas, C.; Menetrier, M.; Croguennec, L.; Saadoune, I.; Rougier, A.; Pouillerie, C.; Prado, G.; Grune, M.; Fournes, L. *Electrochem. Acta* **1999**, *45* (1–2), 243. Ellis, B. L.; Lee, K. T.; Nazar, L. F. Chem. Mater. **2010**, *22*, 691. Whittingham, M. S. Chem. Rev. **2004**, *104*, 4271. Armand, M.; Tarascon, J.-M. Nature **2008**, *451*, 652.

(2) Delmas, C.; Maccario, M.; Croguennec, L.; Le Cras, F.; Weill, F. Nat. Mater. 2008, 7 (8), 665–671. Masquelier, C.; Padhi, A. K.; Nanjundaswamy, K. S.; Goodenough, J. B. J. Solid State Chem. 1998, 135, 228–234.

(3) Chen, J. J.; Whittingham, M. S. Electrochem. Com. 2006, 8 (5), 855–858. Pralong, V.; Caignaert, V.; Raveau, B. J. Mater. Chem. 2011, 21, 12188. Tarascon, J. M. Phil. Trans. R Soc. A 2010, 368, 3227.

- (4) Whittingham, M. S.; Song, Y.; Lutta, S.; Zavalij, P. Y.; Cher-nova, N. A. J. Mater. Chem. 2005, 15, 3362. Chernova, N. A.; Roppolo, M.;
- Dillon, A. C.; Whittingham, M. S. J. Mater. Chem. 2009, 19, 2526. (5) Desilvestro, J.; Haas, O. J. Electrochem. Soc. 1990, 137, 5C-22C.
- (6) Delmas, C.; Cognac-Auradou, H.; Cocciantelli, J. M.; Menet-rier, M.; Doumerc, J. P. Solid State Ionics 1994, 69, 257–264.

(7) Delmas, C.; Brethes, S.; Menetrier, M. J. Power Sources 1991, 34, 113.

(8) Wadsley, A. D. Acta Crystallogr. 1957, 10, 261.

(9) Pistoia, G.; Pasquali, M.; Tocci, M.; Moshtev, R. V.; Maner, V. J. Electrochem. Soc. **1985**, 132 (2), 281–284.

(10) de Picciotto, L. A.; Adendorff, K. T.; Liles, D. C.; Thackeray, M. M. Solid State Ionics 1993, 62, 297–307.

(11) Jouanneau, S.; Verbaere, A.; Guyomard, D. J. Solid State Chem. 2005, 178, 22–27. Dubarry, M.; Gaubicher, J.; Guyomard, D.; Dupre, N.; Grey, C. Solid State Ionics 2009, 180, 1511.

(12) Shannon, R. D.; Calvo, C. Can. J. Chem. 1973, 51, 265.

(13) Muller, Ch.; Valmalette, J.-C.; Soubeyroux, J.-L.; Bouree, F.; Gavarri, J.-R. J. Solid State Chem. 2001, 156, 379.

(14) Chieh, C.; Chamberland, B. L.; Wells, M. F. Acta Crysallogr., Sect. B 1981, 37, 1813.